Firebird 3.0 statistics and plans

Dmitry Kuzmenko, IBSurgeon

© IBSurgeon

Firebird 2017 Tour: Performance Optimization

- Firebird Tour 2017 is organized by <u>Firebird Project</u>, <u>IBSurgeon</u> and <u>IBPhoenix</u>, and devoted to Firebird Performance.
- The Platinum sponsor is <u>Moscow Exchange</u>
- Tour's locations and dates:
 - October 3, 2017 Prague, Czech Republic
 - October 5, 2017 Bad Sassendorf, Germany
 - November 3, 2017 Moscow, Russia



- Platinum Sponsor
- Sponsor of
 - «Firebird 2.5 SQL Language Reference»
 - «Firebird 3.0 SQL Language Reference»
 - «Firebird 3.0 Developer Guide»
 - «Firebird 3.0 Operations Guide»
- Sponsor of Firebird 2017 Tour seminars
- www.moex.com





Advanced Firebird for Big Databases

IBSurgeon

- Replication, Recovery and Optimization for Firebird and InterBase since 2002
- Platinum Sponsor of Firebird Foundation
- Based in Moscow, Russia

Agenda

- New elements for table statistics
 - Including blob information
- New elements for index statistics
- Plan elements
- Explained plans
- Optimizer enhancements
 - Firebird 3 and 4

NEW STATISTICS ELEMENTS

How to get statistics

- Gstat –r
- Gstat -r -t tablename1 -t
 - tablename2...
- Services API
- HQbird Database Analyst

Tables

- JOB (129)
- Primary pointer page: 228, Index root page: 229
- Total formats: 1, used formats: 1
- Average record length: 65.58, total records: 31
- Average version length: 0.00, total versions: 0, max versions: 0
- Average fragment length: 0.00, total fragments: 0, max fragments: 0
- Average unpacked length: 96.00, compression ratio: 1.46
- Pointer pages: 1, data page slots: 3
- Data pages: 3, average fill: 72%
- Primary pages: 1, secondary pages: 2, swept pages: 1
- Empty pages: 0, full pages: 1
- Blobs: 39, total length: 4840, blob pages: 0
- Level 0: 39, Level 1: 0, Level 2: 0
- Fill distribution:

٠

٠

.

- 0 19% = 0
- 20 39% = 0
- 40 59% = 1
- 60 79% = 1
- 80 99% = 1

Total formats: 1, used formats: 1

- Number of table structure changes (except triggers and indices)
- Limited to 256
- After limit exceeded, you need to do backup/restore

 Used formats – how many formats used by primary records. Number of all used formats is unknown (less or equal of Formats)

Primary, Secondary – new storage concept

- Primary
 - Primary record versions insert
 - Backversions
- Secondary
 - Backversions, record fragments update/delete
 - Small blobs (level 0)

Swept

- Processed by garbage collector or sweep
 Sweep skips swept pages
- Used for primary pages
- When no work for garbage collector
- Cleared when new version is created on the data page

G IBSurg Average fragment length: 0.00, total fragments: 0, max fragments: 0

- Fragments records that does not fit at a page
 - -Big records
 - -Big record+versions chain
- Max fragments the most number of fragments for some record

Packing

- Average unpacked length: 96.00, compression ratio: 1.46
- Average record length: 65.58

• 96 / 65.58 = 1.46

Empty, full

- Full when there is no space to place new record (version)
- Empty empty, while not gathered into 8pages extent. These pages are marked as unused only when all pages in extent are empty.

Blobs, blob levels

- Blobs: 463, total length: 248371310, blob pages: 15410
 Level 0: 0, Level 1: 463, Level 2: 0
- Level 0 fits to the data page. Record data is sparsed.
 - Page of 4096 bytes can hold blob of 4052 bytes
- Level 1 pointers to the blob pages.
 - Blobs bigger than page size, and up to ~4mb size can rarefact data same way as 4052 blobs. Because 4052 bytes can fit 1013 links to the blob pages
- Level 2 pointer to the blob pointer page, that contain pointers to the blob pages

BLOB Levels



 Level 1 – pointers to the blob pages BLOB





What was earlier (ODS < 12)

- Small blobs (level 0) sparse record data, because they fit at data page
- Records could be highly sparsed, causing performance loss on scans without accessing blobs
- To avoid this small blobs needed to be moved to separate table, linked 1:1 to the main table

Database Analyst (IBAnalyst 3.0). Unsaved from localhost:C:\Hlam\BLOBTEST3.FDB

Statisti	ics Repo	rts View	Options	Help				
B		2 - 1			<u>}</u>		× (3
/Databases\Summary\Tables\Indices\Tables + Indices\								
Table	Records	RecLength	VerLen	Versions	Max Vers	Data Pages	Size,	ldx9
🛅 A	100000	37.40	0.00	0	0	960	7.50	
\Xi B	100000	46.19	0.00	0	0	8904	69.56	
\Xi C	100000	46.19	0.00	0	0	15352	119.94	
🋅 D	100000	46.19	0.00	0	0	1560	12.19	
	Table is highly fragmented with blobs stored on data pages. Estimated records = 1388877, , real avg.fill = 5%. Read KB5 in Additional Q & A (Help) or View Recommendations.							

Blob level 0

- Now "blob record", i.e. blob contents, stored at a secondary page, while record is at primary page
- Eliminates data page sparse
- Makes scan operations much faster

-Anything that does not touch blob data

Blob level 1

 Blobs: 463, total length: 248371310, blob pages: 15410
 Level 0: 0, Level 1: 463, Level 2: 0

- Here all blobs
 - Bigger than page size (16k) (no Level 0)
 - Less than 64mb (no level 2)
 - Do not interleave record data

Blob level 2

- Blob record points to pages that contain pointers to blob pages
- For 16k page size blob size must be bigger than 64mb to get Level 2

Test

• Page size = 8192

22

- Tables, 100k records, random data
 - A no blobs at all
 - B random blobs from 128 to 1024 bytes size
 - Fits at data page level 0
 - C fixed blobs 1024 bytes size
 - Fits at data page level 0
 - D fixed blobs 9000 bytes size
 - Goes to separate blob page level 1
- Reading all fields except blob
 - Fetch all, select count

Reading speed, ms



Performance with B and C may decrease up to 22%

SELECT * FROM C Fetch All PLAN (C NATURAL)

----- Performance info ------

Prepare time = 16ms

Execute time = 875ms

Memory buffers = 256

Reads from disk to cache = 1 066

Writes from cache to disk = 0

Fetches from cache = 104 274

Page reads





27

Results

- Now blob record is placed at secondary page
- Blobs and versions may be mixed at secondary pages only
- Scanning data without blobs is faster and uses less I/O
- Level 0 in FB 3.0 by performance similar to blobs Level 1 in Firebird 2.5
- Scanning 2x times less fetches
- Select count 25% less fetches

Indices

- Index MAXSALX (2)
- **Root page: 324**, depth: 1, leaf buckets: 1, nodes: 31
- Average node length: 14.74, total dup: 5, max dup: 1
- Average key length: 13.71, compression ratio: 1.37
- Average prefix length: 7.87, average data length: 10.90
- Clustering factor: 1, ratio: 0.03
- Fill distribution:
 - 0 19% = 1
- 20 39% = 0
- 40 59% = 0
- 60 79% = 0
- 80 99% = 0

Node, key, prefix

- Average node length: 14.74
- Average key length: 13.71, compression ratio: 1.37
- Average prefix length: 7.87, average data length: 10.90
- Node prefix + key + record number
- Key indexed data (column value)
- Compression
 - board 05 board
 - boarding 5 3 ing
 - boarded 5 2 ed
- Sequential numbers may be compressed up to 8 times in comparison with GUIDs

Clustering Factor



© IBSurgeon

Example: Clustering factor: 1066, ratio: 0.01

- nodes: 100000
- Primary pages: 1066
- Ratio = Clustering factor / Nodes = 1066/100000 = 0.01
 - Ratio * keys in range = future DP reads
- The best Clustering factor equal to the number of primary data pages

Example: Clustering factor: 1066, ratio: 0.01

- Clustering factor jumps to different primary data pages while walking through index
 - 1066 with 1066 primary pages means the best
- Another index may have worse clustering factor
 For example, 2132, i.e. will be 2x primary page reads
- Cache size will these data pages fit, or not? (will be re-read from disk)

Clustering factor

- Clustering factor closer to the primary data pages number – good
- Ratio less is better
- How clustering factor affects performance?
 See below

PLAN ELEMENTS

Plan elements

- tablename NATURAL
- tablename INDEX indexname
- Tablename ORDER indexname
- JOIN
- HASH JOIN
- SORT
- SORT MERGE

PLAN (TABLE NATURAL)

select * from employee
 PLAN (EMPLOYEE NATURAL)

• The fastest way to read data


PLAN (TABLE INDEX indexname)

- Search for first key applying to condition
- Collect all row numbers for keys, that applying to condition
- Sort array of row numbers
- Fetch records from sorted array of row numbers
- select * from employee where emp_no > 5 PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7))

Index -> Table



How to force optimizer to use index

- We know that all employees have emp_no > 0. Then...
- select * from employee where emp_no > 0 PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7))
- But,
 - All index pages will be scanned to get row numbers of all keys
 - data pages will be scanned too (to read records)
 - Result bigger page I/O
- Sometimes this trick allows to change PLAN (and query speed)

Index bitmap merge

 select * from employee where emp_no > 5 and last_name > 'b' PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7, NAMEX)) employee
 rdb\$primary7 namex



 select * from employee where emp_no > 5 and last_name > 'b' PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7, NAMEX))

- You will not get that plan in Firebird 3 in employee.fdb
- Because optimizer eliminates indices on small tables
- Real plan: PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7))

PLAN (TABLE ORDER INDEX)

- Table walk by index order
- select * from employee order by last_name
 PLAN (EMPLOYEE ORDER NAMEX)

- Stays on first key (or key by where condition)
- Read record
 - apply filter, if any
- Goto next key
- Read record

Index -> Table



Clustering Factor



Summary for table ORDER index

- Returns first row very quickly
- Jumping by data pages
 - Causing pages dropping from cache, if cache size can't fit all data pages read
- Index Clustering factor
 - Order of keys corresponding to records
 - Firebird 3 between pages and rows (less is better)
- Example

Index Order Example

 select count(*) from table (14mln records) Execute time = 42s 500ms Buffers = 2048 Reads = 118 792 Fetches = 28 814 893
 Can be pages *

Can be used to check disk performance pages * page_size / sec = 43mb/sec

 select a, count(a) from table group by a PLAN (TABLE ORDER A) Execute time = 45m 55s 469ms Reads = 3 733 434 each page was read from disk to cache 31 times Fetches = 42 869 143 select a from table order by a PLAN (TABLE ORDER A)

Execute time = 63ms Buffers = 2 048 Reads = 48 Fetches = 12 495

 if user will press Ctrl/End, it will take 3 mln reads and 45 minutes to get to the last row

table ORDER index notes

- Affects ORDER BY and GROUP BY
 - Difference is only between number of rows returned to the client
 - ! Group by may use another access method instead SORT, so do not use GROUP BY for ordering
- Lot of rows causes huge page I/O
- Quickly return first rows, takes long time to get to the last row
- Only one index can be used order of fields, number of fields and order direction must correspond to index

PLAN SORT

- select * from employee
- order by first_name PLAN SORT ((EMPLOYEE NATURAL)) Returning Memory + data to temporary file client Database Moving rows Sorting data

Sort tuning

- firebird.conf
 - TempBlockSize = 1048576
 - May increase to 2 or 3mln bytes, but not to 16mb
 - TempCacheLimit = 67108864
 - SuperServer and SuperClassic. Classic = 8mb.
 - TempDirectories = c:\temp;d:\temp...
 - Classic RAM Disk, point TempDirectories to RAM disk first, to hdd next
 - SC, SS tune Temp* parameters

ORDER vs SORT

PLAN (TABLE ORDER A) Execute time = 45m 55s 469ms Buffers = 2 048 Reads = 3 733 434 Fetches = 42 869 143 PLAN SORT ((A NATURAL)) Execute time = 2m 5s 485ms Buffers = 2 048 Reads = 118 757 Fetches 28 813 410

- Reads equal to the table size (select count(*))
- Takes 2 minutes, then ready to return the whole result without delay
- Temp file is deleted when last row fetched
- N of temp files = N of queries with plan sort
 - Need to monitor number of temp files and their size

- Average record length: 118.86, total records: 14 287 964
- Data pages: 120 408, average fill: 99%
- Primary pages: 120 408, secondary pages: 0, swept pages: 0
- Index BY_CZ (5)
 - Clustering factor: 2 196 857, ratio: 0.15
- Index MINS_CLIENT (0)
 - Clustering factor: 3 651 564, ratio: 0.26
- Index MINS_DATE (3)
 - Clustering factor: 7 755 573, ratio: 0.54
- Index MINS_NUMA (1)
 - Clustering factor: 8 242 351, ratio: 0.58

EXPLAIN PLAN

- ISQL
- set planonly; •
- Old plan example: PLAN SORT (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX 0))
- set explain;

SELECT * FROM RDB\$RELATIONS WHERE RDB\$RELATION_NAME > :a ORDER BY RDB\$SYSTEM_FLAG PLAN SORT (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX_0))

Select Expression

-> Sort (record length: 484, key length: 8)

-> Filter

- -> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID
 - -> Bitmap

- SELECT ***** FROM RDB\$RELATIONS
- WHERE ROB\$RELATION_NAME > :a
- ORDER BY RDB\$SYSTEM_FLAG
- PLAN SORT (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX_0))

Select Expression

-> Sort (record length: 484, key length: 8)

-> Filter

-> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

- SELECT * FROM RDB\$RELATIONS
- WHERE RDB\$RELATION_NAME > :a
- ORDER BY RDB\$SYSTEM_FLAG
- PLAN SORT (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX_0))

Select Expression

-> Sort (record length: 484, key length: 8)

-> Filter

-> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

Index Scan

- Lower bound
- Upper bound
- Full scan
- Unique scan

Composite indices

```
CREATE INDEX BY_AB ON MYTABLE (A, B)

SELECT * FROM MYTABLE

WHERE A = 1 AND B > 5

PLAN (MYTABLE INDEX (BY_AB))
```



 Second column sorted by groups, depending on first column values

where A > 1 and B > 5 will not use 2nd column

• where A = 1 and B ... will use first and second column

• where A = 1 and B = 5 and C ...

- For composite indices > 1.
- First how many segments were used
- Second how many segments index have
- 1/3 only one segment is used
- 2/3 first 2 segments are used
- 3/3 all segments are used
- 1/3 very ineffective, 2/3 medium effective
 Consider using single-column indices instead

Procedure plan

 Now – natural, instead of all plans for all queries

Cost estimation

Cardinality – number of records in the table.

-Computed by scanning pointer pages

Selectivity – 1/(Keys – Total Dup)

– The less is better. Number of unique key values = keys – total_dup

Cost estimation



EXPLAINED PLAN EXAMPLES

select * from rdb\$relations
where rdb\$relation_name > :a
PLAN (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX_0))

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

select * from a where name > 'b' and a.id > 5 PLAN (A INDEX (ANAME, PK_A))

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Table "A" Access By ID
 - -> Bitmap And
 - -> Bitmap
 - -> Index "ANAME" Range Scan (lower bound: 1/1)
 - -> Bitmap
 - -> Index "PK_A" Range Scan (lower bound: 1/1)

select * from minutes where code = '5' and zone > 5 PLAN (MINUTES INDEX (BY_CZ))

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Table "MINUTES" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

-> Index "BY_CZ" Range Scan (lower bound: **2/2**, upper bound: **1/2**)

select * from rdb\$relations
where rdb\$relation_name > :a
order by rdb\$relation_name
PLAN (RDB\$RELATIONS ORDER RDB\$INDEX_0)

Select Expression

-> Filter

-> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID -> Index "RDB\$INDEX_0" **Range Scan** (lower bound: 1/1)

! No "Bitmap" – index walk

select * from rdb\$relations where rdb\$relation_name > :a order by rdb\$relation_name||"

PLAN SORT (RDB\$RELATIONS INDEX (RDB\$INDEX_0))

Select Expression

-> Sort (record length: 582, key length: 100)

-> Filter

-> Table "RDB\$RELATIONS" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

select e.last_name, p.proj_id from employee e, employee_project p where e.emp_no = p.emp_no PLAN JOIN (P NATURAL, E INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7))

Select Expression

- -> Nested Loop Join (inner)
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE_PROJECT" as "P" Full Scan
 - -> Filter
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" as "E" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$PRIMARY7" Unique Scan

select e.last_name, p.proj_id from employee e left join employee_project p on e.emp_no = p.emp_no where p.emp_no is null PLAN JOIN (E NATURAL, P INDEX (RDB\$FOREIGN15))

Select Expression

-> Filter

- -> Nested Loop Join (outer)
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" as "E" Full Scan
 - -> Filter
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE_PROJECT" as "P" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$FOREIGN15" Range Scan (full match)
select e.* from employee e, employee_project p where e.emp_no+0 = p.emp_no+0 PLAN HASH (E NATURAL, P NATURAL)

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Hash Join (inner)
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" as "E" Full Scan
 - -> Record Buffer (record length: 25)
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE_PROJECT" as "P" Full Scan

select * from employee where (emp_no = :param) or (:param is null) where (emp_no = :param) or (:param = 0) Old plan PLAN (EMPLOYEE NATURAL) New plan PLAN (EMPLOYEE NATURAL, EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7))

© IBSurgeon

Plan change at runtime

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Condition
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" Full Scan
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" Access By ID

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$PRIMARY7" Unique Scan

select * from employee where last_name = 'b' order by first_name PLAN (EMPLOYEE ORDER NAMEX)

Select Expression

- -> Filter
 - -> Table "EMPLOYEE" Access By ID
 - -> Index "NAMEX" Range Scan (partial match: 1/2)

select * from employee

where emp_no in (1, 2, 3) PLAN (EMPLOYEE INDEX (RDB\$PRIMARY7, RDB\$PRIMARY7, RDB\$PRIMARY7))

Select Expression

-> Filter

-> Table "EMPLOYEE" Access By ID

-> Bitmap Or

-> Bitmap Or

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$PRIMARY7" Unique Scan

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$PRIMARY7" Unique Scan

-> Bitmap

-> Index "RDB\$PRIMARY7" Unique Scan

• Field in (1,2,3)

– Uses index 3 times – one bitmap, 3 scans

• Field+0 in (1,2,3)

-Turns index usage off, completely

- Field+0 in (1, 2,3) and (field between 1 and 3)
 - Turns index on back, range scan once, to avoid natural scan

ANOTHER FIREBIRD 3 AND 4 OPTIMIZER FEATURES

- Stream materialization (caching)
 - allows to avoid re-reading the same data from tables (for non-correlated streams)
 - currently used only for hash joins, to be used for subqueries too
- Hash join
 - join algorithm for non-indexed correlation
 - usually performs better than merge join
 - can be used instead of nested loops to avoid repeating reads of the same rows (in the future)

- FULL JOIN improvements
 - reimplemented as «semi-join union all antijoin»
 - can use available indices now
- Conditional streams
 - allow to choose between possible plans at runtime
 - currently used only for(FIELD = :PARAM OR :PARAM IS NULL)

- Improved ORDER plan implementation
 - avoid bad plans like «A ORDER I INDEX(I)», use simple «A ORDER I» with a range scan instead
 - allow ORDER plan for «WHERE A = 0
 ORDER BY B» if compound index {A, B}
 exists

- © IBSurgeon
- Implicit FIRST ROWS / ALL ROWS hints
 - whether you need to fetch the first rows faster (e.g. interactive grids) or the complete result set –

choose ORDER or SORT

- currently used internally for queries with FIRST, EXISTS, ANY
- prefers ORDER plan, affects join order
- explicit FIRST/ALL ROWS hints for other query types will appear in v4

- Faster prepare for big tables
 - sampling PP instead of reading them all
 - being field tested
- Misc improvements
 - improve some cases of ORDER plan usage in complex queries
 - better plans for LEFT JOIN and UNION used together
 - optimize SORT plan for FIRST ROWS strategy

Planned for v 4

- HASH/MERGE for outer joins
- Execute EXISTS/IN as semi-join
- LATERAL joins
- More optimizer statistics and its background update

Thank you!

• Contacts:

www.ib-aid.com

support@ib-aid.com